



TITLE:

Projective embeddings and Lagrangian fibrations of Kummer varieties (Analytic Geometry of the Bergman Kernel and Related Topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Nohara, Yuichi

CITATION:

Nohara, Yuichi. Projective embeddings and Lagrangian fibrations of Kummer varieties (Analytic Geometry of the Bergman Kernel and Related Topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2006, 1487: 121-128

ISSUE DATE:

2006-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/58162>

RIGHT:

Projective embeddings and Lagrangian fibrations of Kummer varieties

Yuichi Nohara*

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University

1 Introduction

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and $(L, h) \rightarrow X$ a Hermitian line bundle with $c_1(M, h) = \omega$. Then for sufficiently large integer k , X can be embedded into a projective space by basis s_0, \dots, s_{N_k} of $H^0(X, L^k)$:

$$\iota_k : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} = \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^k)^*.$$

Set $\omega_k = \frac{1}{k} \iota_k^* \omega_{\text{FS}}$, where ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} . Then Tian [10] and Zelditch [12] proved that ω_k converge to ω under appropriate choices of basis of $H^0(X, L^k)$. More precisely,

Theorem 1.1 (Zelditch [12]). *Suppose that the basis $s_0, \dots, s_{N_k} \in H^0(X, L^k)$ are orthonormal orthonormal with respect to the L^2 -inner product for each $k \gg 1$. Then there exist constants $C_q > 0$ independent of k such that*

$$\|\omega - \omega_k\|_{C^q} \leq \frac{C_q}{k}.$$

In this article, we study asymptotic behavior of projective embeddings and the amoebas of abelian varieties and Kummer varieties. We can think of this as a Lagrangian fibration version of the above theorem.

We consider a natural torus action on \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} . Then we have a moment map

$$\mu_k : \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} \longrightarrow \Delta_k \subset \text{Lie}(T^{N_k})^*$$

of the T^{N_k} -action. Note that μ_k is a Lagrangian fibration of \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} with respect to the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} . We denote $B_k = \mu_k(\iota_k(X))$. B_k is called a *compactified amoeba*. We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the restriction $\pi_k : X \rightarrow B_k$ of the moment map μ_k . Amoebas heavily depend on the choice of projective embeddings. Thus the choice of basis of holomorphic sections is an important problem. Of course, there is not a natural choice of basis in general. However, we have some natural choice of basis in special cases

*e-mail : m98014i@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

such as the case of toric varieties and abelian varieties. In these cases, the basis are related to Lagrangian fibrations $\pi : (X, \omega) \rightarrow B$ of X . We compare π and π_k .

First we consider the simplest case, i.e. the case of toric varieties. Let (X, L) be a polarized toric variety. In this case, $H^0(X, L^k)$ is spanned by (Laurent) monomials $z^I = z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a moment map of a natural torus action, where Δ is the moment polytope of X . Then each monomial corresponds to a lattice point in Δ :

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \longleftrightarrow z^I \in H^0(X, L^k).$$

We consider the projective embedding $\iota_k : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ defined by the monomials. Then $\pi_k : X \rightarrow \Delta_k$ is invariant under the T^n -action. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\iota_k} & \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \pi_k & \downarrow \mu_k \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta_k \end{array}$$

In particular, B_k is the image of the n -dimensional polytope Δ .

Remark 1.2. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} B_k = 2n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X$ in general.

The case of abelian varieties is less trivial. Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n / \Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an abelian variety and $L \rightarrow A$ a principally polarization. Then holomorphic sections of L^k are essentially given by the *theta functions*. There are some natural choices of basis of theta functions. For example,

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, z), \quad b \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$$

give a basis of $H^0(A, L^k)$, where

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (\Omega, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{-1}^t (l + a) \Omega (l + a) + 2\pi \sqrt{-1}^t (l + a)(z + b) \right).$$

In particular, we have the following isomorphism

$$H^0(A, L^k) \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{C} \cdot b.$$

This isomorphism can be given by the Lagrangian fibration

$$\pi : A \longrightarrow T^n, \quad z = \Omega x + y \longmapsto y,$$

and this is interpreted in terms of geometric quantization ([11]) or mirror symmetry ([7], [4]). We consider the projective embeddings defined by the above basis:

$$\iota_k : A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k^n - 1}, \quad z \mapsto \left(\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_1 \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, z) : \cdots : \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_{k^n} \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1}\Omega, z) \right).$$

In this case, the restriction

$$\pi_k = \mu_k \circ \iota_k : A \longrightarrow B_k$$

is not the same as the Lagrangian fibration π . However, we can easily see that π_k is invariant under the translations

$$\Omega x + y \longmapsto \Omega(x + a) + y, \quad a \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Therefore, π_k looks “close” to π for large k . In fact, this can be justified by using the notion of *Gromov-Hausdorff distance*.

We discuss this more precisely in the next section. The case of Kummer varieties is discussed in Section 3.

2 The case of abelian varieties

Let $A = \mathbb{C}^n/\Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an n -dimensional abelian variety as in the previous section. We take a principal polarization $L \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$L = (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C})/\sim,$$

where

$$(z, \zeta) \sim (z + \lambda, e^{\pi^t \lambda (\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} z + \frac{\pi}{2}^t \lambda (\text{Im } \Omega)^{-1} \lambda} \zeta)$$

for $\lambda \in \Omega\mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then L is symmetric, i.e.

$$(-1)_A^* L \cong L,$$

where

$$(-1)_A : A \longrightarrow A, \quad z \longmapsto -z$$

is the inverse morphism.

Remark 2.1. The choice of L is not essential. In fact, any other principal polarization can be obtained as a pull-back of L by some translation. The symmetricity condition is important when we deal with the case of Kummer varieties.

Let ω_0 be the flat Kähler metric in the class $c_1(L)$ and fix a Hermitian metric h_0 of L such that $c_1(L, h_0) = \omega_0$.

Let T^f and T^b be n -dimensional tori $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and identify

$$A \cong T^f \times T^b, \quad \Omega x + y \longmapsto (x, y).$$

Then the natural projection

$$\pi : A \longrightarrow T^b, \quad \Omega x + y \longmapsto y$$

is a Lagrangian fibration with respect to ω_0 .

We denote the subgroups of T^b of k -torsion points by

$$T_k^b = \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n = \{b_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k^n} \subset T^b.$$

Then

$$s_{b_i} = Ck^{-\frac{n}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} k^t z (\operatorname{Im} \Omega) z\right) \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_i \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1} \Omega, z), \quad i = 1, \dots, k^n$$

give a basis of $H^0(A, L^k)$, where C is a constant determined by Ω and h_0 . It is known that s_{b_i} has a peak along the fiber $\pi^{-1}(b_i)$. An important property for our purpose is the following:

Proposition 2.2. s_1, \dots, s_{k^n} are orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, L^k)$ with respect to the L^2 -inner product.

We consider the projective embedding defined by these theta functions

$$\iota_k : A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{k^n-1}, \quad z \mapsto \left(\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_1 \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1} \Omega, z) : \dots : \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -b_{k^n} \end{bmatrix} (k^{-1} \Omega, z) \right).$$

The moment map of \mathbb{CP}^{k^n-1} is given by

$$\mu_k : (Z^1 : \dots : Z^{k^n}) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sum |Z^i|^2} (|Z^1|^2, \dots, |Z^{k^n}|^2),$$

where $(Z^1 : \dots : Z^{k^n})$ is the homogeneous coordinate of \mathbb{CP}^{k^n-1} . We set

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &:= \mu_k(\iota_k(X)), \\ \pi_k &:= \mu_k \circ \iota_k : A \rightarrow B_k \end{aligned}$$

as before. We also denote the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric to X by

$$\omega_k := \frac{1}{k} \iota_k^* \omega_{\text{FS}},$$

here we normalize the Fubini-Study metric in order to ω_k represents $c_1(L)$.

We compare $\pi : (A, \omega_0) \rightarrow T^b$ and $\pi_k : (A, \omega_k) \rightarrow B_k$ as maps between metric spaces. For that purpose, we need to define distances on T^b and B_k . We define a metric on T^b in such a way that $\pi : (A, \omega_0) \rightarrow T^b$ is a Riemannian submersion. The distance on B_k is induced from a metric on the moment polytope Δ_k . The metric on Δ_k is also defined in such a way that

$$\mu_k : \left(\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}, \frac{1}{k} \omega_{\text{FS}} \right) \longrightarrow \Delta_k$$

is a Riemannian submersion in the interior of Δ_k .

Theorem 2.3 ([5]). $\pi_k : (A, \omega_k) \rightarrow B_k$ converge to $\pi : (A, \omega) \rightarrow T^b$ in the following sense.

- (1) ω_k converge to ω in C^∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the sequence $\{(A, \omega_k)\}$ of Riemannian manifolds converges to (A, ω_0) with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.
- (2) B_k converge to T^b as $k \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.
- (3) $\{\pi_k\}$ converges to π as maps between metric spaces.

Before the proof, we recall the notion of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and convergence of maps.

First we recall the definition of *Hausdorff distance*. Let Z be a metric space and $X, Y \subset Z$ be two subsets. We denote the ε -neighborhood of X in Z by $B(X, \varepsilon)$. Then the Hausdorff distance between X and Y is given by

$$d_H^Z(X, Y) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid X \subset B(Y, \varepsilon), Y \subset B(X, \varepsilon) \}.$$

For metric spaces X and Y , the *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* is defined by

$$d_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf \{ d_H^Z(X, Y) \mid X, Y \hookrightarrow Z \text{ are isometric embeddings.} \}.$$

Next we recall the notion of convergence of maps (see also [6]). Let $f_k : X_k \rightarrow Y_k$, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be maps between metric spaces. Suppose that X_k and Y_k converge to X and Y respectively with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. Then by definition, there exist isometric embeddings $X, X_k \hookrightarrow Z$ and $Y, Y_k \hookrightarrow W$ into some metric spaces such that X_i (resp. Y_k) converge to X (resp. Y) with respect to the Hausdorff topology in Z (resp. W). We say that $\{f_i\}$ converges to f if for every sequence $x_k \in X_k$ converging to $x \in X$, $f_k(x_k)$ converges to $f(x)$ in W .

Outline of the proof

(1) is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.2.

(2) Decompose $T\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ into horizontal and vertical parts:

$$\begin{aligned} T_p \mathbb{CP}^{N_k} &= T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k, p} \oplus (T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k, p})^\perp \\ \xi &= \xi^V + \xi^H \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k, p} = \ker d\mu_k$ is the tangent space to the fiber of μ_k and $(T_{\mathbb{CP}^{N_k}/\Delta_k, p})^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Similarly we decompose the tangent space of A :

$$T_z A = T_{A/T^b, z} \oplus (T_{A/T^b, z})^\perp,$$

where $(T_{A/T^b, z})^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $T_{A/T^b, z} = \ker d\pi$ with respect to the flat metric ω_0 . Then the metrics on Δ_k and T^b are given by the restriction of ω_k and ω_0 on the horizontal subspaces respectively. Therefore we need to compare two horizontal and vertical spaces. We can prove that these two decompositions are close in the following sense:

Lemma 2.4. (1) If $\xi \in T_{A/T^b, z}$, then

$$|d\iota_k(\xi)^H| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} |\xi|.$$

(2) If $\eta \in (T_{A/T^b, z})^\perp$,

$$|d\iota_k(\eta)^V| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} |\eta|.$$

This lemma follows from the asymptotic behavior of the theta functions. By using the above estimates, we have

$$d_{\text{GH}}(T^b, B_k) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

In fact, we can show that the composition

$$\varphi_k = \pi_k \circ \sigma_0 : T^b \longrightarrow B_k$$

of the zero section $\sigma_0 : T^b \rightarrow A$ and π_k is “almost isometric” (a $\frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}$ -Hausdorff approximation (see [3] for the definition)).

3 The case of Kummer varieties

Let (A, L) be a polarized abelian variety as in the previous section. The Kummer variety of A is defined by

$$X = A/(-1)_A.$$

We take a line bundle $M \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$p^*M \cong L^2,$$

where $p : A \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection. From the fact that $p^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A)$ is injective, we have

$$p^*M^k \cong L^{2k}.$$

It is easy to see that $p^* : H^0(X, M^k) \rightarrow H^0(A, L^{2k})$ is injective and the image is spanned by

$$s_{b_i} + s_{-b_i}, \quad b_i \in T_{2k}^b$$

(see [1] and [8]). Note that

$$N_k + 1 = \dim H^0(X, M^k) = 2^{n-1}(k^n + 1).$$

Let ω be the orbifold Kähler metric induced from the flat metric $2\omega_0$ on A . Then $[\omega] = c_1(M)$. We also have a Lagrangian fibration

$$\pi : (X, \omega) \rightarrow B = T^b/(-1)$$

induced by $\pi : A \rightarrow T^b$. We set

$$t_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}(s_{b_i} + s_{-b_i}), & \text{if } b_i \in T_{2k}^b \setminus T_2^b, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}}}s_{b_i}, & \text{if } b_i \in T_2^b. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{t_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X, M^k)$.

We denote by $\iota_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ the projective embedding defined by $\{t_i\}$, $\pi_k : X \rightarrow B_k$ the restriction of the moment map, and $\omega_k = \frac{1}{k}\iota_k^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$ as before. Then the same theorem holds for X as well.

Theorem 3.1. (1) $\{(X, \omega_k)\}$ converges to (X, ω) with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

(2) B_k converge to B with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

(3) $\{\pi_k\}$ converges to π as maps between metric spaces.

Outline of the proof

(1) follows from the fact that $\{t_i\}$ are orthonormal and an orbifold version of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 3.2 (Song [9], Dai-Liu-Ma [2]). *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler orbifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with only finite isolated singularities $\text{Sing}(X) = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $(M, h) \rightarrow X$ be an orbifold Hermitian line bundle with $c_1(M, h) = \omega$. For $k \gg 1$, we consider the projective embedding $\iota_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N_k}$ defined by an orthonormal basis. We put $\omega_k = \frac{1}{k}\iota_k^*\omega_{\text{FS}}$ as before. Then*

$$\|\omega - \omega_k\|_{C^q, z} \leq C_q \left(\frac{1}{k} + k^{\frac{q}{2}} e^{-k\delta r(z)^2} \right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C^q, z}$ is the C^q -norm at $z \in X$ and $r(z)$ is the distance between z and the singular set $\{e_j\}$.

(2) What we must take care of is the existence of singular fibers. For each $b \in \text{Sing}(B) = T_2^b/(-1)$, we denote the $\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}}$ -neighborhood of the singular fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ by

$$N_{b,k} = \left\{ z \in X \mid d(z, \pi^{-1}(b)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\delta k}} \right\}$$

and put

$$X(k) = X \setminus \bigcup_{b \in \text{Sing}(B)} N_{b,k}.$$

Then we can show that $\pi(N_{b,k})$ and $\pi_k(N_{b,k})$ are small for large k (in fact, their diameters can be bounded by $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right)$). Therefore we may “ignore” these parts. On the other hand, we have the same estimates as in Lemma 2.4 on $X(k)$. Hence we can apply the same arguments to this situation.

References

- [1] Th. Bauer, *Projective images of Kummer surfaces*, Math. Ann. 299 (1994), 155–170.
- [2] X. Dai, K. Liu, and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), no. 3, 193–198.
- [3] K. Fukaya, *Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its applications*, Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 143–238, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 18-I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [4] K. Fukaya, *Mirror symmetry of Abelian varieties and multi-theta functions*, J. Alg. Geom. 11 (2002), 393–512.
- [5] Y. Nohara, *Projective embeddings and Lagrangian fibrations of abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. 333 (2005), 741–757.
- [6] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [7] A. Polishchuk and E. Zaslow, *Categorical mirror symmetry: the elliptic curve*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998), 443–470, math.AG/9801119.
- [8] R. Sasaki, *Bounds on the degree of the equations defining Kummer varieties*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33 (1981), no. 2, 323–333.
- [9] J. Song, *The Szegő kernel on an orbifold circle bundle*, math.DG/0405071.
- [10] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 32 (1990), 99–130.
- [11] J. Weitsman, *Quantization via real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections and Chern-Simons gauge theory in genus one*, Comm. Math. Phys. 137 (1991), 175–190.
- [12] S. Zelditch, *Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian*, International Math. Res. Notices 1998, No.6, 317–331.